Séminaire de Mathématiques Appliquées du CERMICS



Une méthode de domaines fictifs à convergence optimale

Alexei Lozinski (Université de Franche-Comté)

25 mars 2019

Une méthode de domaines fictifs à convergence optimale

Alexei Lozinski

Laboratoire de Mathématiques de Besançon Université de Franche-Comté

Séminaire CERMICS le 25 mars 2019

Alexei Lozinski (LMB) Séminaire CERMICS, 25/03/19

Matching vs. Non-matching grids



Possible uses of non-matching grids

- A simpler treatment of complex geometries, cracks, inclusions, ...
- Inverse problems involving geometrical features of a priori unknown shape
- Fluid-Structure interaction, particulate flows, ... (domain changing in time)

 Classical Fictitious Domain methods for Poisson-Dirichlet problem

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega$$
$$u = g \text{ on } \Gamma := \partial \Omega$$

- CutFEM à la Burman & Hansbo
- A "no cut" method
 - A version for Poisson-Dirichlet
 - A version for Poisson-Neumann
- ϕ -FEM : a joint work with Michel Duprez (LJLL)

A classical fictitious domain method

• Extend *u* to to the whole fictitious domain O by the solution of the same governing equation

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f \text{ in } \Omega \\ -\Delta u &= f \text{ in } \Omega^c := \mathcal{O} \backslash \Omega \\ u &= g \text{ on } \Gamma \\ + \text{ some b.c. on } \partial \mathcal{O} \end{aligned}$$



 The weak form with a Lagrange multiplier λ on Γ: Find u ∈ H¹(O), λ ∈ H^{-1/2}(Γ) s.t.

$$\int_{\mathcal{O}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Gamma} \lambda v = \int_{\mathcal{O}} fv \quad \forall v \in H^{1}(\mathcal{O})$$
$$\int_{\Gamma} \mu u = \int_{\Gamma} g\mu \quad \forall \mu \in H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)$$

Let

 \mathcal{T}_h be a quasi-uniform mesh on \mathcal{O} $V_h = \{\text{cont. piecewise linear functions on } \mathcal{T}_h\}$ $M_h = \{\text{piecewise constant on a mesh on } \Gamma\}$

• Find
$$u_h \in V_h$$
, $\lambda_h \in M_h$ s.t.





Analysis from GIRAULT & GLOWINSKI (1995)

• The mesh on Γ should be sufficiently coarser than \mathcal{T}_h in order to have the inf-sup condition

$$\inf_{v_h\in V_h}\sup_{\mu_h\in M_h}\frac{\int_{\Gamma}\mu_hv_h}{\|\mu_h\|_{-1/2,\Gamma}|v_h|_{1,\mathcal{O}}} \geq \beta > 0$$



• By the theory of saddle-point problem discretization

$$|u-u_h|_{1,\mathcal{O}} \lesssim |u-I_h u|_{1,\mathcal{O}} + ||\lambda-I_h^{\Gamma}\lambda||_{-1/2,\Gamma}$$

• By elliptic regularity

$$u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega^c)$$
 and $\lambda = \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}\right]_{\Gamma} \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$

but $u \notin H^2(\mathcal{O})$ (typically $u \in H^{3/2-\varepsilon}(\mathcal{O})$)

The best possible convergence

Even supposing some extra regularity for f one gets

$$|u-u_h|_{1,\mathcal{O}} \lesssim h^{1/2} \|f\|_{1,\mathcal{O}}$$

Indeed,

- Approximation of λ is OK: taking I_h^{Γ} as L^2 -projection $\|\lambda - I_h^{\Gamma}\lambda\|_{-1/2,\Gamma} \lesssim h\|\lambda\|_{1/2,\Gamma} \lesssim h\|f\|_{0,\Omega}$
- On cut triangles $\mathcal{T} \subset \Omega_h^\Gamma$ one cannot expect more than

$$|u - I_h u|_{1,T} \lesssim |u|_{1,\omega_T}$$



The best possible convergence

Even supposing some extra regularity for f one gets

$$|u - u_h|_{1,\mathcal{O}} \lesssim h^{1/2} ||f||_{1,\mathcal{O}}$$

Indeed,

• Approximation of λ is OK: (I_h^{Γ} as L^2 -projection)

$$\|\lambda - I_h^{\Gamma}\lambda\|_{-1/2,\Gamma} \lesssim h\|\lambda\|_{1/2,\Gamma} \lesssim h\|f\|_{0,\Omega}$$

• On triangles $\mathcal{T}\subset\Omega_h^\Gamma$ one cannot expect for more than

$$|u - I_h u|_{1,T} \lesssim |u|_{1,\omega_T}$$

• Summing over triangles gives

$$\begin{aligned} |u - I_h u|_{1,\mathcal{O}} &\lesssim h |u|_{2,\mathcal{O} \setminus \Omega_h^{\Gamma}} + |u|_{1,\Omega_h^{\Gamma}} \\ &\lesssim h \|f\|_{L^2(\mathcal{O})} + \sqrt{|\Omega_h^{\Gamma}|} \|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})} \lesssim h \|f\|_{0,\mathcal{O}} + \sqrt{h} \|f\|_{1,\mathcal{O}} \end{aligned}$$

Modern fictitious domain methods: CutFEM A version with Lagrange multipliers BURMAN&HANSBO(2010)

Find $u_h \in V_h$, $\lambda_h \in W_h$ s.t.

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u_h \cdot \nabla v_h + \int_{\Gamma} \lambda_h v_h = \int_{\Omega} f v_h \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$
$$\int_{\Gamma} \mu_h u_h - \sigma h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{\Gamma} [\lambda_h] [\mu_h] = \int_{\Gamma} g \mu_h \quad \forall \mu_h \in W_h$$

Here

$$\begin{split} V_h &= \{ \text{cont. piecewise linear functions on } \mathcal{T}_h \} \\ \mathcal{T}_h &= \text{ the original mesh without elements outside } \Omega \\ W_h &= \{ \text{piecewise constants on } \mathcal{T}_h^{\Gamma} \} \\ \mathcal{T}_h^{\Gamma} &= \text{ restriction of } \mathcal{T}_h \text{ on } \Omega_h^{\Gamma} \\ \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma} &= \{ \text{ the edges cut by } \Gamma \} \end{split}$$



Modern fictitious domain methods: CutFEM A version with Nitsche approach BURMAN&HANSBO(2012)

One no longer needs λ_h : Nitsche method + Ghost stabilization

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_{h} \cdot \nabla v_{h} &- \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u_{h}}{\partial n} v_{h} \pm \int_{\Gamma} u_{h} \frac{\partial v_{h}}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} u_{h} v_{h} \\ &+ \sigma h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\Gamma,i}} \int_{E} \left[\frac{\partial u_{h}}{\partial n} \right] \left[\frac{\partial v_{h}}{\partial n} \right] \qquad (\mathcal{E}_{h}^{\Gamma,i} = \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\Gamma} \cup \Gamma_{h}^{i}) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_{h}} f v_{h} + \int_{\Gamma} g \frac{\partial v_{h}}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} g v_{h} \qquad \forall v_{h} \in V_{h} \end{split}$$

Stabilization for FE of order $k \ge 1$

$$\sigma \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma,i}} \sum_{j=1}^k h^{2j-1} \int_E \left[\frac{\partial^j u_h}{\partial n^j} \right] \left[\frac{\partial^j v_h}{\partial n^j} \right]$$

Notations and results



• One gets the optimal convergence

$$|u-u_h|_{1,\Omega} \lesssim h^k |u|_{k+1,\Omega}$$

	FD	CutFEM
Pro	Ease of implementation:	
	• The standard quadrature for <i>u_h</i> is performed on the whole triangles	Optimal convergence
	 Some interpolation in the surface integrals may be needed, but it can be alleviated in the stabilized version (same as CutFEM λ_h) 	
	·	Non standard quadrature on cut triangle



Contra Slow convergence \sqrt{h}

• Assume that our problem can be solved up to Γ_h

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega_h$$
$$u = g \text{ on } \Gamma$$

• Integrate by parts over Ω_h



Ø

 \bullet Weakly impose the b.c. on Γ

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v + \int_{\Gamma} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} u v$$
$$= \int_{\Omega_h} f v + \int_{\Gamma} g \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} g v$$

• Assume that our problem can be solved up to Γ_h

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega_h$$
$$u = g \text{ on } \Gamma$$

• Integrate by parts over Ω_h

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v = \int_{\Omega_h} fv, \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega_h)$$

Ø

• Weakly impose the b.c. on Γ

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v + \int_{\Gamma} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} u v$$
$$= \int_{\Omega_h} f v + \int_{\Gamma} g \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} g v$$

• Assume that our problem can be solved up to Γ_h

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega_h$$
$$u = g \text{ on } \Gamma$$

• Integrate by parts over Ω_h

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v = \int_{\Omega_h} fv, \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega_h)$$

Ø

• Weakly impose the b.c. on Γ

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v + \int_{\Gamma} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} u v$$
$$= \int_{\Omega_h} f v + \int_{\Gamma} g \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} g v$$

• Assume that our problem can be solved up to Γ_h

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega_h$$
$$u = g \text{ on } \Gamma$$

• Integrate by parts over Ω_h

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v = \int_{\Omega_h} fv, \quad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega_h)$$

Ø

• Weakly impose the b.c. on Γ

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v + \int_{\Gamma} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} u v$$
$$= \int_{\Omega_h} f v + \int_{\Gamma} g \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} g v$$

A "no cut" method for Poisson-Dirichlet Finite element discretization

• The FE space

$$V_h = \{v_h \in H^1(\Omega_h) : v_h |_T \in \mathbb{P}_1(T) \, \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

The bilinear form

$$a_{h}(u, v) = \int_{\Omega_{h}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_{h}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v + \int_{\Gamma} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} uv + \sigma h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\Gamma,i}} \int_{E} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right] \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right]$$

with $\gamma > 0$ (arbitrary) and $\sigma > 0$ sufficiently big (both $\gamma > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ independent of h)

• Search for $u_h \in V_h$ s.t.

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = \int_{\Omega_h} fv_h + \int_{\Gamma} g \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} gv_h \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$

Analysis of the method

An important lemma for the coerciveness

Recall
$$\Omega_h^{\Gamma} = \{T \in \mathcal{T}_h : T \cap \Gamma \neq \varnothing\}.$$

 $\forall \beta > 0 \quad \exists 0 < \alpha < 1 \text{ s.t. for all } v_h \in V_h$
 $|v_h|_{1,\Omega_h^{\Gamma}}^2 \leq \alpha |v_h|_{1,\Omega_h}^2 + \beta h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma,i}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{0,E}^2$

Proof. One can cover Γ by

disjoint connected element patches $\{\Pi_k\}_{k=1,\ldots,N_{\Pi}}$. Each patch consists of a feeding triangle $\mathcal{T}_k \subset \Omega$ and the remaining part Π_k^{Γ} cut by Γ





A patch Π_k The feeding triangle T_k The number of triangles in Π_k^{Γ} is assumed $\leq M$

• Pick a $\beta > 0$ and set

$$\alpha := \max_{\prod_{k, v_h \neq 0}} \frac{|v_h|_{1, \prod_k^{\Gamma}}^2 - \beta h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma, i} \cap \Pi_k} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} \end{bmatrix} \right\|_{0, E}^2}{|v_h|_{1, \Pi_k}^2}$$

with the maximum over all the possible configurations of Π_k and over all the piecewise linear functions on Π_k . The maximum $\alpha \leq 1$ is indeed attained thanks to homogeneity wrt h and v_h . Supposing α = 1 leads to a contradiction. One would have then for some v_h with |v_h|_{1,Π_k} = 1

$$|v_h|_{1,T_k}^2 + \beta \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma,i} \cap \Pi_k} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{0,E}^2 = 0$$

This implies

- $\nabla v_h = 0$ on T_k
- $[\nabla v_h] = 0$ on all edges E inside Π_k

Thus $\nabla v_h = 0$ on $\Pi_k =>$ contradiction.

• We conclude $\exists \alpha < 1$ s.t.

$$|v_h|_{1,\Pi_k^{\Gamma}}^2 \leq \alpha |v_h|_{1,\Pi_k}^2 + \beta h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma,i} \cap \Pi_k} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{0,E}^2$$

Summing this over Π_k yields the announced result

Alexei Lozinski (LMB) Séminaire CERMICS, 25/03/19

Coerciveness of a_h

Lemma

Provided $\sigma > 0$ is sufficiently big, there exists an h-independent constant c > 0 such that $\forall v_h \in V_h$

 $a(v_h, v_h) \ge c |||v_h|||_h^2$ with $|||v|||_h^2 = |v|_{1,\Omega_h}^2 + \frac{1}{h} ||v||_{0,\Gamma}^2$

Proof: Recall, by the definition,

$$\begin{aligned} a_h(v_h, v_h) &= \int_{\Omega_h} |\nabla v_h|^2 - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} v_h + \int_{\Gamma} v_h \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} v_h^2 \\ &+ \sigma h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma,i}} \int_{E} \left[\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let B_h be the strip between Γ and Γ_h . By integration by parts

$$-\int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} v_h + \int_{\Gamma} v_h \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} = -\int_{B_h} |\nabla v_h|^2 - \sum_{F \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{F \cap B_h} v_h \left[\frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} \right]$$

Séminaire CERMICS, 25/03/19

An H^1 error estimate

Theorem

Suppose
$$f \in L^2(\Omega_h)$$
, $g \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma)$, then

$$|u - u_h|_{1,\Omega} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} ||u - u_h||_{0,\Gamma} \lesssim h(||f||_{0,\Omega_h} + ||g||_{3/2,\Gamma})$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Observe } u\in H^2(\Omega)\\ \text{Let } \tilde{u}\in H^2(\Omega_h) \text{ be extension of } u \text{ and } \tilde{f}:=-\Delta \tilde{u} \end{array}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{a}_{h}(u_{h}, v_{h}) &= (f, v_{h})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{h})} + \int_{\Gamma} g \frac{\partial v_{h}}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} g v_{h} \quad \forall v_{h} \in V_{h} \\ \mathsf{a}_{h}(\tilde{u}, v_{h}) &= (\tilde{f}, v_{h})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{h})} + \int_{\Gamma} g \frac{\partial v_{h}}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} g v_{h} \quad \forall v_{h} \in V_{h} \end{aligned}$$

Galerkin orthogonality

$$a_h(u_h - \tilde{u}, v_h) = (f - \tilde{f}, v_h)_{0,\Omega_h}, \quad \forall v_h \in V_h$$

Proof continued

Galerkin orthogonality and coercivity of a_h lead to

$$\begin{split} |||u_{h} - I_{h}\tilde{u}|||_{h} &\lesssim \sup_{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{a_{h}(u_{h} - I_{h}\tilde{u}, v_{h})}{|||v_{h}|||_{h}} \\ &= \sup_{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{a_{h}(\tilde{u} - I_{h}\tilde{u}, v_{h}) + (f - \tilde{f}, v_{h})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{h})}}{|||v_{h}|||_{h}} \\ &\lesssim |e_{u}|_{1,\Omega_{h}} + \sqrt{h} \left\| \frac{\partial e_{u}}{\partial n} \right\|_{0,\Gamma_{h}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \|e_{u}\|_{0,\Gamma} + \|e_{u}\|_{0,\Gamma} \\ &+ \left(h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\Gamma,i}} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial e_{u}}{\partial n} \right] \right\|_{0,E}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \underbrace{\|f - \tilde{f}\|_{0,\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} \sup_{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{\|v_{h}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma})}}{|||v_{h}|||_{h}}}_{note that f = \tilde{f} on \Omega \setminus \Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} \end{split}$$

with $e_u = \tilde{u} - I_h \tilde{u}$

• All the terms involving e_u are bounded by $h|u|_{2,\Omega_h} \lesssim h|u|_{2,\Omega} \lesssim h||f||_{0,\Omega}$

• It remains to bound the term with $f - \tilde{f}$. We have

$$\|f - \tilde{f}\|_{0,\Omega_h^{\Gamma}} \le \|f\|_{0,\Omega_h} + \|\Delta \tilde{u}\|_{0,\Omega_h} \le C \|f\|_{0,\Omega_h}$$

and by a Poincaré-like inequality in the strip Ω^{Γ}_{h} of width $\sim h$

$$\|v_h\|_{0,\Omega_h^{\Gamma}} \leq C\left(\sqrt{h}\|v_h\|_{0,\Gamma} + h|v_h|_{1,\Omega_h^{\Gamma}}\right) \leq Ch|||v_h||_h$$

An L² error estimate (proof by Aubin-Nitsche)

Under the same assumptions as above

$$\|u - u_h\|_{0,\Omega} \lesssim h^{3/2} \left(\|f\|_{0,\Omega_h} + \|g\|_{3/2,\Gamma} \right)$$

Non-optimality of the L^2 estimate

In fact, the numerical experiments reveal the optimal convergence rate $O(h^2)$, similar to the state of art in the study of the non-symmetric Nitsche method.

Numerical results

Let Ω be a "seven pointed star" embedded in the square $(-0.5, 0.5)^2$.



• We solve

$$-\Delta u = 0$$
 in Ω , $u = g$ on Γ

with a fabricated solution $u = \sin(x)e^{y}$

• When the domain is rotated, we also rotate the solution

Results on a fixed domain ($\theta_0 = 0$)

Approximation on the 16 imes 16 mesh



Exact solution

Under the mesh refinement



Implementation in FreeFEM++





int2d(Th, levelset=phi)(...)

$$\int_{\phi < 0} \dots$$

Alexei Lozinski (LMB)

Error vs. mesh refinement and domain placement (H^1)

(No-Cut method $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 0.01$)



(CutFEM–Nitsche sym. $\gamma = 5$, $\sigma = 0.1$)



(CutFEM with $\lambda_h \sigma = 0.01$)



Alexei Lozinski (LMB)

(CutFEM–Nitsche asym. $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 0.01$)



Séminaire CERMICS, 25/03/19

Error vs. mesh refinement and domain placement (L^2)

(No-Cut method $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 0.01$)



(CutFEM–Nitsche sym. $\gamma = 5$, $\sigma = 0.1$)



(CutFEM with $\lambda_h \sigma = 0.01$)



Alexei Lozinski (LMB)

(CutFEM–Nitsche asym. $\gamma = 1$, $\sigma = 0.01$)



Séminaire CERMICS, 25/03/19

Dependence on stabilization parameters

The same problem on the 32 \times 32 mesh. Non rotated $\Omega~(\theta_0=0)$



The relative errors in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $H^1(\Omega)$ norms as functions of parameters

- γ for the Nitsche stabilization (on horizontal axis)
- σ for the ghost penalty (separate curves)

What about Neumann boundary conditions?

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g \text{ on } \Gamma$$

First idea

Formally

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega_h, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g \text{ on } \Gamma.$$

Integration by parts over Ω_h and weak b.c. on Γ

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v = \int_{\Omega_h} f v + \int_{\Gamma} g v$$

Add ghost penalty ...

What about Neumann boundary conditions?

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g \text{ on } \Gamma$$

First idea

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v = \int_{\Omega_h} f v + \int_{\Gamma} g v$$

This does not work

- It seems impossible to establish the coercivity without controlling $\|u\|_{0,\Gamma}$.
- ∇u_h is piecewise constant if u_h is a ℙ₁ FE: impossible to impose ∇u_h · n ≈ g on an arbitrary curve Γ

A "no cut" method for Poisson-Neumann

• Assume that our problem can be solved up to Γ_h

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega_h, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g \text{ on } \Gamma$$

Moreover introduce $y = -\nabla u$ on Ω_h^{Γ} (between Γ_h and Γ_h^i)



• Integrate by parts over Ω_h and impose $-y \cdot n = g$ on Γ

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Gamma_h} y \cdot nv - \int_{\Gamma} y \cdot nv = \int_{\Omega_h} fv + \int_{\Gamma} gv, \ \forall v \in H^1(\Omega_h) \\ \bullet \text{ Impose } y &= -\nabla u \text{ and } \operatorname{div} y = f \text{ on } \Omega_h^{\Gamma} \\ &\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Gamma_h} y \cdot nv - \int_{\Gamma} y \cdot nv + \gamma \int_{\Omega_h^{\Gamma}} \operatorname{div} y \operatorname{div} z \\ &+ \sigma \int_{\Omega_h^{\Gamma}} (y + \nabla u) \cdot (z + \nabla v) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_h} fv + \int_{\Gamma} gv + \gamma \int_{\Omega_h^{\Gamma}} f \operatorname{div} z, \qquad \forall v \in H^1(\Omega_h), z \in H^1(\Omega_h^{\Gamma}) \end{split}$$

A "no cut" method for Poisson-Neumann

• Assume that our problem can be solved up to Γ_h

$$-\Delta u = f$$
 in Ω_h , $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g$ on Γ

Moreover introduce $y = -\nabla u$ on Ω_h^{Γ} (between Γ_h and Γ_h^i)



• Integrate by parts over Ω_h and impose $-y \cdot n = g$ on Γ

$$\int_{\Omega_{h}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Gamma_{h}} y \cdot nv - \int_{\Gamma} y \cdot nv = \int_{\Omega_{h}} fv + \int_{\Gamma} gv, \ \forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega_{h})$$

• Impose $y = -\nabla u$ and div $y = f$ on Ω_{h}^{Γ}

$$\int_{\Omega_{h}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Gamma_{h}} y \cdot nv - \int_{\Gamma} y \cdot nv + \gamma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} div \ y \ div \ z$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} (y + \nabla u) \cdot (z + \nabla v)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_{h}} fv + \int_{\Gamma} gv + \gamma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} f \ div \ z, \qquad \forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega_{h}), z \in H^{1}(\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma})$$

A "no cut" method for Poisson-Neumann

• Assume that our problem can be solved up to Γ_h

$$-\Delta u = f$$
 in Ω_h , $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g$ on Γ

Moreover introduce $y = -\nabla u$ on Ω_h^{Γ} (between Γ_h and Γ_h^i)



• Integrate by parts over Ω_h and impose $-y \cdot n = g$ on Γ

$$\int_{\Omega_{h}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Gamma_{h}} y \cdot nv - \int_{\Gamma} y \cdot nv = \int_{\Omega_{h}} fv + \int_{\Gamma} gv, \ \forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega_{h})$$
• Impose $y = -\nabla u$ and div $y = f$ on Ω_{h}^{Γ}

$$\int_{\Omega_{h}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Gamma_{h}} y \cdot nv - \int_{\Gamma} y \cdot nv + \gamma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} div \ y \ div \ z$$

$$+ \sigma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} (y + \nabla u) \cdot (z + \nabla v)$$

$$= \int_{\Omega_{h}} fv + \int_{\Gamma} gv + \gamma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} f \ div \ z, \qquad \forall v \in H^{1}(\Omega_{h}), z \in H^{1}(\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma})$$
Alexei Loginski (LMB)

A "no cut" method for Poisson-Neumann Finite element discretization

• The FE space

$$V_h = \{ v_h \in H^1(\Omega_h) : v_h |_T \in \mathbb{P}_1(T) \,\forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h, \ \int_{\Omega_h} v_h = 0 \}$$

$$Z_h = \{ z_h \in H^1(\Omega_h^{\Gamma})^2 : z_h |_T \in \mathbb{P}_1(T)^2 \,\forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h^{\Gamma} \}$$

The bilinear form

$$\begin{split} a_{h}^{N}(u, y; v, z) &= \int_{\Omega_{h}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\Gamma_{h}} y \cdot nv - \int_{\Gamma} y \cdot nv \\ &+ \gamma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} \operatorname{div} y \operatorname{div} z + \sigma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} (y + \nabla u) \cdot (z + \nabla v) \\ &+ \sigma h \int_{\Gamma_{h}^{i}} [\nabla u] \cdot [\nabla v] \quad \Leftarrow \text{ ghost penalty} \end{split}$$

• Search for $u_h \in V_h$, $y_h \in Z_h$ such that $\forall (v_h, z_h) \in V_h \times Z_h$

$$a_h^N(u_h, y_h; v_h, z_h) = \int_{\Omega_h} f v_h + \int_{\Gamma} g v_h + \gamma \int_{\Omega_h^{\Gamma}} f \operatorname{div} z_h$$

Analysis of the coerciveness

• The bilinear form can be rewritten by the divergence Theorem

$$\begin{aligned} a_{h}^{N}(u, y; v, z) &= \int_{\Omega_{h}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v + \int_{\mathcal{B}_{h}} (v \operatorname{div} y + y \cdot \nabla v) + \gamma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} \operatorname{div} y \operatorname{div} z \\ &+ \sigma \int_{\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} (y + \nabla u) \cdot (z + \nabla v) + \sigma h \int_{\Gamma_{h}^{i}} [\nabla u] \cdot [\nabla v] \end{aligned}$$

• The term $\int_{B_h} v \operatorname{div} y$ can be controlled thanks to the "div-div"' stabilization. The following lemma allows us to control $\int_{B_h} y \cdot \nabla v$

Lemma

There exist $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\beta > 0$ depending only on the mesh regularity such that $\forall v_h \in V_h, z_h \in Z_h$

$$-\int_{B_h} z_h \cdot \nabla v_h \leqslant \alpha |v_h|_{1,\Omega_h}^2 + \beta ||z_h + \nabla v_h||_{0,\Omega_h^{\Gamma}}^2 + \beta h ||[\nabla v_h]||_{0,\Gamma_h^i}^2$$

Coercivity of the bilinear form

Provided γ, σ are sufficiently big, there exists an *h*-independent constant c > 0 such that $\forall v_h \in V_h, z_h \in Z_h$

$$a_h^N(v_h, z_h; v_h, z_h) \ge c |||v_h, z_h|||_h^2$$

with $|||v, z|||_{h}^{2} = |v|_{1,\Omega_{h}}^{2} + ||\operatorname{div} z||_{0,\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}}^{2} + ||z + \nabla v||_{0,\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}}^{2} + h||[\nabla v]||_{0,\Gamma_{h}^{i}}$

Error estimates in H^1 and L^2

Suppose $f \in H^1(\Omega_h)$, $g \in H^{3/2}(\Gamma)$. Provided γ, σ are sufficiently big,

$$|u - u_h|_{1,\Omega} \lesssim h(||f||_{1,\Omega_h} + ||g||_{3/2,\Gamma}) ||u - u_h||_{0,\Omega} \lesssim h^{3/2}(||f||_{1,\Omega_h} + ||g||_{3/2,\Gamma})$$

A sketch of the proof of the H^1 estimate

• Let $\tilde{u} \in H^3(\Omega_h)$ be an extension of u from Ω

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{3,\Omega_h} \leq C \|u\|_{3,\Omega} \leq C(\|f\|_{1,\Omega} + \|g\|_{3/2,\Gamma})$$

and $y = -\nabla \tilde{u}$ on Ω_h^{Γ} .

• By Galerkin orthogonality and coercivity

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{c}|||u_{h} - I_{h}\tilde{u}, y_{h} - I_{h}y|||_{h} \leq \sup_{(v_{h}, z_{h}) \in V_{h} \times Z_{h}} \frac{a_{h}^{R}(u_{h} - I_{h}\tilde{u}, y_{h} - I_{h}y; v_{h}, z_{h})}{|||v_{h}, z_{h}|||_{h}} \\ &= \sup_{(v_{h}, z_{h}) \in V_{h} \times Z_{h}} \frac{a_{h}^{R}(e_{u}, e_{y}; v_{h}, z_{h}) + (f - \tilde{f}, v_{h})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{h})} + \gamma(f - \tilde{f}, \operatorname{div} z_{h})_{L^{2}(\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma})}}{|||v_{h}, z_{h}|||_{h}} \end{split}$$

with $e_u = \tilde{u} - I_h \tilde{u}$, $e_y = y - I_h y$.

• The interpolation estimate for y:

$$|e_{y}|_{1,\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} \leq Ch|\tilde{y}|_{1,\Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} \leq Ch|u|_{3,\Omega}$$

• We no longer have $\|v_h\|_{0,\Omega_h^{\Gamma}} \leq Ch |||v_h, z_h|||_h$. We rely instead on

$$\|f - \tilde{f}\|_{0,\Omega_h} = \|f - \tilde{f}\|_{0,\Omega_h \setminus \Omega} \le Ch|f - \tilde{f}|_{1,\Omega_h} \le Ch(|f|_{1,\Omega_h} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{3,\Omega_h})$$

Numerical results for the Neumann problem



Alexei Lozinski (LMB)

Séminaire CERMICS, 25/03/19

N=32

N=64 N=128 N=256

0.4 0.6 0.8

Extension to P_k FE: Poisson-Dirichlet

• The FE space

$$V_h = \{ v_h \in H^1(\Omega_h) : v_h |_T \in \mathbb{P}_k(T) \, \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h \}$$

• The bilinear form

$$a_{h}(u, v) = \int_{\Omega_{h}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_{h}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v + \int_{\Gamma} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} uv + \sigma h^{2} \sum_{\mathcal{T} \subset \Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{T}} (\Delta u) (\Delta v) + \sigma h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\Gamma,i}} \int_{E} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right] \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right]$$

• Search for $u_h \in V_h$ s.t. for all $v_h \in V_h$

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = \int_{\Omega_h} fv_h + \int_{\Gamma} g \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} gv_h - \sigma h^2 \sum_{\mathcal{T} \subset \Omega_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{T}} f \Delta v$$

Extension to P_k FE: Poisson-Dirichlet

• The FE space

$$V_h = \{v_h \in H^1(\Omega_h) : v_h|_T \in \mathbb{P}_k(T) \,\forall T \in \mathcal{T}_h\}$$

• The bilinear form

$$a_{h}(u,v) = \int_{\Omega_{h}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v - \int_{\Gamma_{h}} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} v + \int_{\Gamma} u \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} + \frac{\gamma}{h} \int_{\Gamma} uv + \sigma h^{2} \sum_{\mathcal{T} \subset \Omega_{h}^{\Gamma}} \int_{\mathcal{T}} (\Delta u) (\Delta v) + \sigma h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_{h}^{\Gamma,i}} \int_{E} \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right] \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial n} \right]$$

• To prove the coerciveness, integrate by parts on B_h

$$-\int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} v_h + \int_{\Gamma} v_h \frac{\partial v_h}{\partial n} = -\int_{B_h} |\nabla v_h|^2 - \sum_{T \subset \Omega_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{T \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h - \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{T \subset \Omega_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{T \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{T \subset \Omega_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{T \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} \int_{E \cap B_h} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_h^{\Gamma}} v_h \Delta v_h = \sum_{E \in$$

$$\begin{aligned} a(v_h, v_h) \ge (1 - \alpha) |v_h|_{1,\Omega_h}^2 - h \parallel v_h \parallel_h \|\Delta v_h\|_{0,\Omega_h^{\Gamma}} + \sigma h^2 \|\Delta v_h\|_{0,\Omega_h^{\Gamma}}^2 \\ + \cdots \ge c \parallel v_h \parallel_h^2 \end{aligned}$$

Other possible extensions

- P_k FE for Poisson-Neumann
- Robin boundary conditions
- General eliptic equations

$$-\operatorname{div}(a(x)\nabla u) + b \cdot \nabla u + cu = f$$

OK provided

- a is not strongly oscillating on length h
- usual positivity assumptions on a, b, c
- Stokes equations: should be OK since we have velocity-pressure inf-sup (for example $P_2 P_1$ FE pair) for free.
- Back to simple model equation -Δu = f: Computing numerically the integrals over Γ is still challenging even for a "no-cut" method
 - $\bullet\,$ The piecewise-affine approximation of Γ is yet to be investigated theoretically
 - "Boundary value correction" = a Taylor expansion, could be introduced for higher order elements, cf. BURMAN, HANSBO, LADSON (2017) Alexei Lozinski (LMB) Séminaire CERMICS, 25/03/19

ϕ -FEM: FEM with a levelset multiplier with Michel Duprez, LJLL

• We want to solve

$$-\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \qquad u = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma$$

with Ω given by the levelset

$$\Omega = \{ \phi < \mathsf{0} \}$$

• Introduce the mesh \mathcal{T}_h as above (interior and cut triangles), the FE space

$$V_{h} = \{v_{h} \in H^{1}(\Omega_{h}) : v_{h} | \tau \in \mathbb{P}_{k}(T) \ \forall T \in \mathcal{T}_{h}\}, \qquad \Omega_{h} \supset \Omega$$

and approximate $\phi \approx \phi_{h} \in V_{h}, \ u \approx \phi_{h} w_{h}$ with $w_{h} \in V_{h}$

$$\int_{\Omega_h} \nabla(\phi_h w_h) \cdot \nabla(\phi_h v_h) - \int_{\Gamma_h} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} (\phi_h w_h) \phi_h v_h + G_h(w_h, v_h) = \int_{\Omega_h} f \phi_$$

where G_h stands for the the ghost penalty

$$G_{h}(w_{h},v_{h}) = \sigma h \sum_{E \in \mathcal{F}_{\Gamma}} \int \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial n}(\phi_{h}w_{h})\right] \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial n}(\phi_{h}v_{h})\right] + \sigma h^{2} \sum_{T \subset \mathcal{T}_{h}^{\Gamma}} \int_{T} \Delta(\phi_{h}w_{h})\Delta(\phi_{h}v_{h})$$

Alexei Lozinski (LMB)

Séminaire CERMICS, 25/03/19

Theorem

Provided u and ϕ smooth enough and σ big enough

$$u-u_h|_{1,\Omega\cap\Omega_h}\leq Ch^k\|f\|_{k,\Omega\cup\Omega_h}$$

$$|u - u_h||_{0,\Omega} \le Ch^{k+1/2} ||f||_{k,\Omega_h}$$

Main ingredients for the proof

• A Hardy inequality: for any $u \in H^{k+1}(\mathcal{O})$ vanishing on Γ ,

$$\|u/\phi\|_{k,\mathcal{O}} \leq C \|u\|_{k+1,\mathcal{O}}$$

• Interpolation: \tilde{u} an extension of u and $w = \tilde{u}/\phi$

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi w - \phi_h I_h w|_{1,\Omega_h} &\leq |(\phi - \phi_h) w|_{1,\Omega_h} + |\phi_h (w - I_h w)|_{1,\Omega_h} \\ &\leq C h^k \|\phi\|_{W^{k+1,\infty}(\Omega_h)} \|w\|_{k+1,\Omega_h} \\ &\leq C h^k \|\phi\|_{W^{k+1,\infty}(\Omega_h)} \|\tilde{u}\|_{k+2,\Omega_h} \end{aligned}$$

Numerical results for ϕ -FEM with P_1 FE

• Let
$$\Omega := \{\phi < 0\}$$
 be a circle inside $(0, 1)^2$
 $\phi = (x - 1/2)^2 + (y - 1/2)^2 - 1/8$

 \bullet Solve the homogeneous Poisson-Dirichlet problem on Ω with

 $u = \phi \times \exp(x) \times \sin(2\pi y)$



Errors with ghost penalty $\sigma=20$ (left) and without ghost penalty $\sigma=0$ (right)

Numerical results for ϕ -FEM with P_1 FE



Matrix condition numbers with ghost penalty $\sigma = 20$ (left) and without ghost penalty $\sigma = 0$ (right)



Influence of the ghost penalty parameter σ

Alexei Lozinski (LMB)

Numerical results for ϕ -FEM with P_2 and P_3 FE



Finite elements of degree k = 2 (left) and k = 3 (right)

- Stationary Stokes equations
 - A "no-cut" method giving a good approximation for the force?
- Unsteady (Navier-)Stokes equations
 - How to treat the derivative wrt time at a point x that was covered by solid at time t_{n-1} and is covered by fluid at time t_n?
- A better "no-cut" method for Neumann bc? Can one construct one so that to avoid higher regularity assumption?
- A ϕ -FEM for Neumann bc?